

# PLAINTIFF'S REPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Comes Now the Plaintiff, Douglas Brown, in accordance with Rule 56(b)(e)-and (f), Fed. R. Civ. P., brings this His Reponse to defendant's summary judgment motion, and Plaintiff would like to show that there exist a "Genuine" issue that a Reasonable Jury could return a Verdict in His favor, pursuant to Anderson V. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, at 248(1986).

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

This is a case where the Defendants have failed to Respond to a particular threat to Plaintiff, because of their custom, Practice of ignoring Elderly Prisoner's Safety concerns, which are "Systematic-deficiencies". (Plaintiff ask this Court take Judicial Notice of the affidavit from Inmate Arthur Carson, on file herein, which supports Plaintiff's claims of this practice and policy of ignoring Elderely

Prisoner's safety concerns.)

# legal issue;

- (1). WHETHER THE DEFENDANT DISPLAYED A RECKLESS DISREGARD FOR PLAINTIFF'S SAFETY?
- (2). WHETHER THE DEFENDANT'S HAVE A DUTY TO PROVIDE A REASONABLE DEGREE OF SAFETY?

### claim;

On 1/22/01, Plaintiff, hereinafter, "Frown", informed Defendant Pattison, and Peacock, of threats of Bodily harm made to Him by His cell partner, (Kevin Henderson) whom was a much Younger Inmate, and more so aggressive. The Defendant displayed deliberate Indifference for Brown's safety by failing to act reasonably in Response to danger, see Farmer V.Brennan, -511 U.S.825, 114 S.Ct.1970(1994).

Brown was specific of the threat by Kevin Henderson, and the Defendant's, at a minimum, should have investigated the allegations of Violence, or the Threat against Brown. pursuant to, Young V.Quinlan, 960 F.2d.351, at-363(3rd Cir.1992).

The Indifference to Brown's safety were enhanced by Defendants actions, or inaction. Defendant Price, was gross negligent in failing to ensure proper classification or housing of Inmates within their age group or seperating "Younger, aggressive Inmates" from "Geriatric Inmates". the Defendants should have known the risk to Flder Inmates by placing them

with much Younger, and aggressive Prisoner. this constitute a Constitutional Liability, Gullatte V. Potts, 654 F. 2d. 1007, 1013 (5Th Cir. 1981), and Stokes V. Delcambre, 710 F. 2d. 1120, 1124-25 (5TH Cir. 1983).

#### CONTROVERTED ISSUES FOR TRIAL:

- (a).On 1/22/01, Defendant Pattison, Peacock, was warned beforehand of Kevin Henderson's threat, no action was taken to remove Brown from the same cell with Henderson, nor was any investigation conducted at this point;
- (b).On 1/23/01, Brown, was assaulted by His cell partner, Kevin Menderson; (c).On 4/26/01, a Pretextual investigation began, however, it didnot entail Brown and His cell partner Kevin Henderson.the investigation involves the wrong assailant, i.e., "Donald Harrison", see (Plaintiff's Exhibit-(1) attached, "Offender Protection Investigation form, pg.2).there never was a meaningfull investigation taken in this matter;
- (d).Brown's assailant, "Kevin Henderson" has an Assaultive History, and gang involvement.said Assailant has never been interviewed regarding His assault on Brown, before or after the incident by Prison Officials.
- (e).Defendant Price, failed to ensure Proper classification of Elder Inmates, and have acted indifferent to Geriatric Offenders safety;(f).The Defendant's practice is to punish the Elder Inmate complaining of threats by His cell Partner, by placing the complainant in lock-up

status;

(g).Placement in safekeeping or Protective Custody creates atypical repercussions for Prisoner, whom are label a "Snitch", and denied all opportunities to participate in Educational or Rehabilitative endeavors;

There exist a genuine issues of material fact for Trial, as per;

Celotex Corp., V.Catrett, 477 U.S.317, 106 S.Ct.2548(1986).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, PLAINTIFF Pray, that the foregoing Case proceed to Trial.

dated this The day of April, 2004.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:

I,Douglas Brown, certify that a copy of the foregoing Response to Defendant's summary Judgment/affidavit in support of Response, is hereby mailed to: Celamaine Cunniff, Assistant Attorney General, this April, 2004, by placing same in the U.S.Mail, postage prepaid.

I swear the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.1746.

Douglas Brown TDCJ#3463:14 9601 Spur 591 Amarillo, Tx. 79107

(In propria personam)

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

DOUGLAS BROWN PLAINTIFF,

§

VS.

§ CIVIL ACTION NO.2:01-CV-0411

CLIFFORD BARNHILL, ET AL.,

8

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF POTTER

# AFFIDAVIT OF DOUGLAS BROWN

Being duly sworn, deposes and says; I am the Plaintiff is the foregoing Lawsuit, Douglas Brown, and state the following:

I have submitted numerous I-60's, to no avail, to defendant Price, whom ignored all My written request for His intervention before and after My assault by Kevin Henderson.on 1/22/01, I warned Defendant Pattison, and Peacock of the threats made to me By My cell partner, where no investigation into the matter was conducted nor were either one Us move to another cell to avoid conflict.after being assaulted, Defendant Barnhill, forced Me to sign a Offender Protection Investigation form concerning an Inmate not related to My assault, (Donald Harrison). the Prison donot take seriously, Geriatric Prisoner's complaints regarding threats of harm.

I swear the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my Knowledge, pursuant to the penalties of perjury, 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

dated this ## day of: April, 2004.

Douglas Brown
TDCJ#346314

# Case 2:01-cv-00414 Previous INVESTIGATION PROPRESHEE PR

	Restricted & Confidential .	
GRIEVANCE OFFICE USE ONLY		STEP 2
Unit: CGO CGI: 10570	Date Initiated: 4/10/01	Date Completed: 4/30/01
Offender Name: Brown, Douglas	TDCJ No: 346314	Housing: BC
Grievance No: 200109476	Code: 000	<u>Date Due: 5/15/01</u>
EMERGENCY USE OF FOR		
YES NO MAJOR MINOR	UR  MAJOR  MINOR	RELIGION SSI
UOF #	#	MEDICAL
Summary of Issue: (Include date. to use of the Grievance Procedure or Access to Courts of Complete the Fact Sheet for J.A.D. Investigations.  1-22-01-The offender alleges he not Offender Henderson, had threatened Offender Henderson assaulted him,  Requested Remedy: IAD investigation  The following is to be completed by the	rights, other legal activities, the investigation tified Sergeant Patterson and Office him with bodily harm but no activities which resulted in injuries.  investigating official. The investigation	er Peacock that his cell mate, on was taken. On 1-23-01,
(Attached Statement/Supporting De Summary of Fact Finding Act Officer Patterson stated that the his cellmate. He was advised to the offender stated that he was personal safety. Sergeant Peace being threatened in anyway. In assaulted. A review of computer Henderson are no longer cell made on 4/26/01, as required by policing received on 4/30/01. A review of that the offender signed a waive no longer requesting protection.	offender did notify him that he write an I-60 to the major or cathreatened by his cellie or that ock stated that the offender did addition, he has no knowledge records indicates that Offende ates. This investigator initiated by. A faxed copy of the life in day of the "Offender Protection Investing that he feels the issue of the the total the indicating that he feels the issue of the service in the indicating that he feels the indicating that he indicating the indicating that he indicating the indicating t	lassification. At no time did he was in fear of his not report that he was of this offender being or Brown and Offender a life in danger investigation anger investigation was estigation Form" indicates
Suggested Response to Offer There is no evidence to indicate feared bodily harm from your ce into your complaint and you sig stating that you considered the further action is warranted.	that you had previously reporte Illmate. On April 26, 2001 an in Ined the "Offender Protection In	vestigation was conducted vestigation Form" waiver
Outcome Code: D		
Completed By: Signature:	20 moho 2)	Date: 4/30/01
Completed by, Signature.	ronda Sanchez	Title: CGI

PlAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 4(1)

27 01 02:16p TDCJ-ID Clements Unit 806-383-7113
Case 2:01-cv-00411-J Documents Unit 806-383-7113
Page 7 06 9 Page 10 470 \_טאוד

# Offender Protection Investigation Form

Upon comple Picase print c	tion of this form send it to the Male or type all entries on this form,	or's Office for review and forward to L	Init Classification office.
		Duglas TDCJ#	346314
		Time investigation comp	. , 0
		Tremple with rell pines	. —
			•
		1	
			-
	aining offender a member of a gar ed () Confirmed (-) C	ng or security threat group? X No claims membership at this time	( ) Yes What group?
Offenders In	ייייייק: זיייייחל:		
TDCJ Number	Name	Nature of Involvement	Name of STG/gang if any
166092	HIRRISON, DONALD	VERRAZ THRANTS	
Were weapo	ons used?() Yes ⊘ No	If yes, what type of weapon(s) we	ere used?
			1
Describe me	edically verified injuries: WA		1
	·	}	
Complaint w	vas corroborated by: ( ) S	Staff () Offender () Phys	sical 🚫 Not
List any nres	vious action taken to alleviate the		ence Comborated
2.0.0.0, p. 0			
	•		
UCC Reco	mmendation to the SCC and justi	fication(s):	
UCC Chair	rperson:	Date:	
1 .	arded to SCC:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5000	Oatal		
j	ew Date:	ion Takon by CCC:	<del></del>
1		ion Taken by SCC:	
Disapprovi	ed nng tecommendation ( ) Exi	planation:	
SCC Mem	ber.		to Unit
Prepared by: 0	Classification and Records, 12/10/99	1 .	_
55P.115 ((nv	•		12

RPR 27 2001 14:30

-- 806 383 7113

	. AF 27 01 02:16p TDCJ-ID Clements U Case 2:01-cv-00411-J Bocument 88 FTIGO	p.3
1	Offender Name: Brown Druglas	TDCJ#: 346314
	Current Housing location/designation: 81.14	Current Work Assignment Un Asan Me
	Age: 49 Height 5 / Weight 209	
*	Date Investigation began: 4/26/01	Time Investigation began:/630
1	Where did the most recent incident occur? Prior	3 30 0 1 152
	When did it occur? Date: PRIOR TO 3/20/01	Time:
	When did staff become aware of a possible endangermen	it situation? Date 4/2.4/01 Time 1600 h.s
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	How was it reported? (check one)	aff () Other (explain)
 	Name of person reporting the situation (if other than offen	der being threatened). N/2
İ	Was situation reported to Internal Affairs? (※ No. ( ) Yes	(if Yes, date and time of report):
:	Name of IA Investigator contacted: Name of IA Investigator contacted:	The state of the s
;	Have there been similar incidents before? ⊠ No ( ).Yes	When?
	Has offender previously been assigned to Safekeeping or	Adm. Seg. Protective Custody? NA
	Prior endangerment request? ( ) No ( ) Yes (if Yes, c	iste of most recent request) WA
	To whom was prior reported? WA	Results:
	Was any physical or written evidence presented? ⋈ No Please describe:	( ) Yes.
	Were any staff members interviewed? (No () Yes () List staff witnesses, statements or report(s) of interview(s)	
	Were any other offenders interviewed? ⋈ No () Yes	(If No, explain why not)
	Written statements? ⋈ No ( ) Yes	
	List offender witnesses, statements or report(s) of intervio	ew(s) (attach as applicable)
	·	2
ì	Prepared by: Classification and Records, 12/10/99	<del>∵</del>

SSP-115 (rev 2/99)

73 13

		17.041.114	11 +1			1771 2 2000	1100	1261	/	11000
	riblom Harasir	a Duno!	1 42 0	6600		olt in		118-	huin	<u>CETYIU</u>
	7	Custodia.		1	1.	/			- 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u> </u>
	& Chum	C ACT FOLL R	(20)		0//		100		FI / MIYUA	
	,		<del></del>				-	<del></del>		<del></del>
<del></del>							1			
								····		
		<del>, ,</del>	<del></del>	<del></del>			<del></del> -		<del></del>	<del></del>
				<u> </u>						
<del></del>					<del></del>		<del></del>			
				1				<del>,</del> -		
				·	····			<del></del> :		
	<del></del>						· · ·	·		
								·2.		
	•									
OFCENT	TER WANT	R STATEMEN	NТ							
								2/	,	•
I AM RE	QUESTING	THAT NO FU FEKEEPING.	RTHER A	CTION B	ETAKE	N BY TI	HE		TINU	REGA
OTHER		(	CIRCLE C	ONE). TH	E SITU	I NOITA	HAS BE	EN RES	SOLVED A	NO I NO
· · · · · ·			EED 1111	VOERSTA	HT DA	AT THE	ALLEGA	ATIONS	I MADE '	WHICH F
REQUIR	RE PROTEC	TION/TRANS	FER. 101	10011011						
IN THIS	INVESTIG	TION/TRANS ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I	NOT BE I	NVESTIC	SATED .	again i	UNLESS	THERE	E IS NEV	V EVIDE:
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I	NOT BE I NVESTIG ACKNO	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	AGAIN I I HAVE	READ	AND U	E IS NEW	Y EVIDE:
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR	ATION WILL	NOT BE I NVESTIG ACKNO	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	AGAIN I I HAVE	READ	AND U	E IS NEW	Y EVIDE:
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I	NOT BE I	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND U	E IS NEW	Y EVIDE:
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING	NOT BE I	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ	AND U	E IS NEW	AND TH
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND	ation will it another i re below, i i am signing Bascut	NOT BE I	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND U	IS NEW	AND TH
IN THIS SHOULI BY MY STATEN	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND MENT AND OFFENDER	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE	NOT BE I	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND U	IS NEW	AND TH
IN THIS SHOULD	INVESTIG D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND MENT AND OFFENDER	ation will it another i re below, i i am signing Bascut	NOT BE I	INVESTIC ATION. MLEDGE	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND U	IS NEW	AND TH
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM	INVESTIGE DE WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT AN SIGNING IT AN SIGNATURE IT AND IT AN	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM	INVESTIGE DE WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM	INVESTIGE DE WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT AN SIGNING IT AN SIGNATURE IT AND IT AN	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM	INVESTIGE DE WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT AN SIGNING IT AN SIGNATURE IT AND IT AN	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	SATED. THAT	I HAVE I HAVE Y OWN !	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEN INVEST	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND CFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O	NOT BE I	MLEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M	I HAVE Y OWN	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEN INVEST	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND CFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT ANOTHER IT AN SIGNING IT AN SIGNATURE IT AND IT AN	NOT BE I	MLEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M	I HAVE Y OWN	READ FREE W	AND C	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND U	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND U	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST	INVESTIGE D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND OFFENDER TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTA	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST	INVESTIGE D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND OFFENDER TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTA	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST DATE OF CHAIR	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND OFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED OF UCC CO CTION: PERSON O	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O  MMITTEE HE F THE UCC:	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST	INVESTIGE D WARRAN SIGNATUR MENT AND OFFENDER TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTA	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O  MMITTEE HE F THE UCC:	NOT BE I	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST DATE OF CHAIR CC:	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED  OF UCC CO CTION: PERSON O Offender U	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE  SIGNATURE  BY MAJOR O  MMITTEE HE  Init File	NOT BE INVESTIGE ACKNOWN THIS ST.  R DESIGN ARING:	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE
IN THIS SHOULD BY MY STATEM INVEST DATE OF CHAIR CC:	SIGNATUR SIGNATUR MENT AND COFFENDER TGATOR'S REVIEWED  OF UCC CO CTION: PERSON O Offender U	ATION WILL IT ANOTHER I RE BELOW, I I AM SIGNING R SIGNATURE SIGNATURE: BY MAJOR O  MMITTEE HE F THE UCC:	NOT BE INVESTIGE ACKNOWN THIS ST.  R DESIGN ARING:	MEDGE ATEMEN	THAT TOF M'	I HAVE Y OWN TOO	READ FREE W	AND COLL.	IS NEW	AND THE